

## Response to “revised” proposal for Springhill Suites by Marriot

1. The revised proposal (7/17) made only trivial changes to the last plan. It in no way addressed the major concerns expressed by hundreds of residents who have packed 4 public hearings -- that the size of the project simply does not fit that site or the character of a village center.
2. There was no change to the footprint of the building, its scale/mass, or its relationship to the neighborhood behind it.
3. It does not show the AC compressors as requested by Subcommittee members.
4. Design changes were trivial and do nothing to make the building “fit in” better.
5. The large trees on the “Context Rendering – Main St. (west)” are not reflected on the Site Plan. I believe they are on adjacent property and therefore out of the applicant’s control. Therefore, as the Subcommittee itself noted earlier, these trees should not be included in context drawings as the neighbor could cut them down at any time. Whichever property they are on, they are likely to be damaged or killed by the extensive excavation in that area.
6. The Context Rendering from Main St (east) show a greatly zoomed in, and therefore unrealistic view of what approaching traffic from that end would see along this regionally important road (Rt. 28/Main St. Falmouth). The attached photo shows that view from further back, before the Dunkin’ Donuts. As you can imagine the hotel will loom large over Dugan’s Reality, and behind it. The proposed trees in their drawing will not reach that size for 15-20 years – in the meantime the entire expanse of the hotel will be visible.



