

## Jeffrey Ribeiro

---

**From:** Julianna Hoch <jhoch@lagrassearchitects.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 9:29 AM  
**To:** Jeffrey Ribeiro; Jonathon Idman  
**Cc:** Kevin Eriksen (keriksen@raventures.net); jpeznola@hancockassociates.com; Katie Cruz (kcruz@hancockassociates.com); Robert Walker (rwalker@raventures.net)  
**Subject:** RE: TR14017 SpringHil Suites Limited DRI Materials Request  
**Attachments:** Falmouth Hotel Site Photometrics 2014-04-21.pdf; Falmouth Marriott Fixture cuts 2014-04-22.pdf

Hi Jeffrey,

Attached is the updated site lighting plan and fixture cuts as requested. Kevin Eriksen is following up with the approved abutter's list. Let me know if anything additional is required..

Thanks,  
Julianna

---

**From:** Jeffrey Ribeiro [mailto:jeffrey.ribeiro@capecodcommission.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2015 2:33 PM  
**To:** Julianna Hoch; Jonathon Idman  
**Cc:** Kevin Eriksen (keriksen@raventures.net); jpeznola@hancockassociates.com; Katie Cruz (kcruz@hancockassociates.com); Robert Walker (rwalker@raventures.net)  
**Subject:** RE: TR14017 SpringHil Suites Limited DRI Materials Request

Thanks Julianna,

I've had time to review the new documents. The LEED narrative is satisfactory, and I've heard back from our specialists that the architectural and landscape plans are satisfactory.

The lighting plan still appears to have some issues. Included are cut sheets for wall and ceiling fixtures, but those are not listed on the plans. The plans do show what I believe to be a ceiling fixture, but the description doesn't match the cut sheet provided. Also, the Alpine wall fixture sheet does not include photometrics showing 90-degree cutoff. As for the Omega fixture, the plans show the same description but have given the fixtures three different labels, which appear to have different photometric qualities despite appearing to be the same lamping. One appears to have a different loss factor, but I'm not sure why. Clarification would be appreciated.

Also, since Joe and Katie are on this email, the original application box was checked that a certified 300' abutters list was included, but when I looked closer the list is only for 100'. We cannot open substantively without the proper abutters list. The list should be certified by the assessor for "Cape Cod Commission use". The list provided was likely produced for some other use. All of the requirements are clearly listed on that sheet, so you may want to review them again.

Once I've received a satisfactory lighting plan and the certified abutter's list, we can declare the application complete and schedule a substantive hearing. Given our noticing requirements, I am going to instruct the Commission Clerk to prepare a notice for a hearing officer to procedurally open the hearing period. We need to send that to the papers next week. There is no need to attend that hearing. We will be able to schedule a substantive hearing for shortly after the hearing period opens if the materials are submitted in a timely manner.

As always, please feel free to give me a call if you have questions.