

From: [Chris Powicki](#)
To: [CCC 2018 Tech Bulletins](#)
Subject: Comments
Date: Sunday, January 13, 2019 9:47:35 PM

I read the final RPP and the technical bulletins on Transportation, Energy, Infrastructure/Capital Facilities, Waste Management, and Housing. And skimmed a couple others. I would like to thank the Commission for revising the draft RPP and drafting the bulletins to integrate a number of the climate-change-related concerns and suggestions provided during public comment on the draft RPP.

But the response to comments is inadequate, just as the effort to draft the RPP was in the first place.

Climate change is an existential threat. Knowing that the Commission is staffed by sentient beings who presumably recognize this fact, I believe that a political decision has been made – that emission reduction is someone else's problem.

Generally, the bulletins are fatally flawed because, driven by the final RPP itself, they are pro-growth without sufficient attention to sustainability considerations. Most importantly, they do not adequately address the needs to rapidly and drastically reduce greenhouse gas emissions due to fossil fuel consumption and other sources and to increase carbon uptake via tree planting and other natural sequestration mechanisms.

Because the RPP does not specify plans, goals, guidelines, and actions consistent with the 25% by 2020 and 80% by 2050 targets established under the Global Warming Solutions Act, emission reduction is a peripheral issue rather than central focus of the bulletins.

So, rather than offer specific comments, I recommend that the technical bulletins be placed on hold until the RPP is fixed – focusing any effort on fixing them is akin to shuffling deck chairs on the Titanic, putting lipstick on a pig, whatever.

Thanks for your consideration -

Chris Powicki
2042 Main Street
Brewster, MA 02631
774-487-4614